THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.S.NARAYANA

Writ Petition No.23552 of 2006

Date: 24th November, 2006

Between :-

Vanam Radha Krishna .. Petitioner

And

Government of A.P. rep. by
Its Chief Executive Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Nizamabad and others
..Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.S.NARAYANA

Writ Petition No.23552 of 2006

ORDER:-

Heard the Counsel.

2. This Court order *notice before admission* on 13-11-2006. It is stated that R.5 is served and proof of service also is filed.

3. The Writ Petition is filed for a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 4 to allot the R.W.S., work under Indiramma Pathakam in favour of the petitioner as per Gram Panchayat resolution dt.3-10-2006 based on the G.O.Ms.No. 37, dt.18-2-205 of Panchayat Raj & Rural Development Department and consequently direct the respondents 1 and 2 to dispose of the representations dated 18-10-2006 and 19-10-2006 and premises such other suitable orders.

4. The main grievance of the writ petitioner is that the action of the respondents in not allotting R.W.S. work under Indiramma Pathakam in favour of the petitioner though Gram Panchayat resolution dt.3-10-2006 based on the G.O.Ms.No. 37, dt.18-2-205 of Panchayat Raj & Rural Development Department. Several factual details are narrated in the affidavit filed in support of the Writ Petition. It is stated that by the learned standing Counsel

that the Mandal Prishad Development Officer, Birkur Mandal, Nizamabad District – R.4 is the competent authority to consider and dispose of the representations and these representations referred to were made to R.1 and r.2. In the light of the facts and circumstances well explained, the petitioner is given liberty to file representation narrating all the facts to R.4 as early as possible and R.4 to consider the same in the light of the Gram Panchayat resolution and also in the light of the G.O.Ms.No.37, dt.18-2-205 of Panchayat Raj & Rural Development Department in accordance with law and dispose of the same at the earliest point of time.

5. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

_____

Justice P.S.Narayana

24th November, 2006

smr